

MINUTES OF THE 158th MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001

Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 22nd August, 2017

The meeting was attended by the following:

1. Ms. Susmita Pande, Chairperson, NMA.
2. Sh. A.B. Shukla, Whole Time Member, NMA.
3. Sh. U.K. Sadhav, Whole Time Member, NMA.
4. Sh. Satish Kumar, Whole Time Member, NMA.
5. Sh. Navneet Soni, Member Secretary

Agenda No. 1

The minutes of 156th meeting held on 13th July, 2017 and 157th meeting held on 14th July, 2017 were confirmed.

Agenda No. 2

Consideration of Public Utility Projects

Case No. 1

(The Superintendent of Police, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, the case was recommended for construction of GF+1 Floor of Block-A with the total height of 9.15 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.); floor area of GF=198.78 sqm. & FF=190.35 sqm., construction of GF of Block-C01 with the total height of 4.90 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.); floor area 75.40 sqm. and construction of Block B1 to Block B2 subject to restricting the total height of both the Blocks upto 9.15 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, lift room, water-storage tank etc.); at R.S. No. 1/A/Paiki 3 (part), Champaner- Pavagadh, Div.-Baroda, Dist.- P'Mahal, Gujarat. Therefore, the applicant may be asked to submit the revised building plan accordingly for consideration.

Case No. 2

(General Manager (E-W Construction), Metro Link Express for Gandhinagar and Ahmedabad (MEGA) Company, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case and ask the C.A. to submit the following:

1. Heritage Impact Assessment Report prepared by CEPT University involving Prof. Rabindra Vasavada. The payment of Heritage Impact Assessment will have to be made by the applicant through C.A., Gujarat.
2. Reply of the applicant to the show cause notice issued by ASI.
3. Comments of ASI and Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation on the project; as Ahmedabad has been declared as Heritage City by UNESCO.

Thereafter, the case will be considered again.

Case No. 3

(The Principal, Khandosan Primary School, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+1 floor of the school building with the total height of 9.70 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) and with floor area of 33.29 sqm. per room at Property No. 1259/Part, Khandosan, Visnagar, Dist.-Mehsana, Gujarat.

Case No. 4

(The Secretary, Palakkad Municipality, Palakkad, Kerala) Distance-120 mtrs.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of compound wall of 825.32 mtrs. length with the total height of 2.00 mtrs. at Fort Maidan, Palakkad Municipality.

Case No. 5

(The Secretary, Palakkad Municipality, Palakkad, Kerala) Distance-101 mtrs.

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of compound wall of 145.45 mtrs. length with the total height of 1.28 mtrs. and construction of Milma Booth with the total height of 3.20 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) and floor area 8.36 sqm.; at Children's Park; Fort Maidan, Palakkad Municipality.



Case No. 6

(The President, Sanjeevani Samithi, Urakam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, the case was recommended for construction at Survey No. 262/40, 10 and 14, Urakam, Thrissur; subject to reducing one storey of the building. Therefore, the applicant may be asked to submit the revised building plan of Basement+GF+1 Floor incorporating mangalore tiled sloping roof for consideration.

Case No. 7

(Mother Superior, Fathima Convent, Fort Kochi, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on Second Floor of existing GF+2 Floors with the total height of building restricted to 13.30 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, lift room, water-storage tank etc.) at Survey No. 73/1P, Ernakulam, Kochi; with proposed plinth area of SF=319.78 sqm.

Case No. 8

(Superintending Engineer (Workshop), DVVNL, Agra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to submit revised proposal after relocating the Winding Hall and Temporary Sheds beyond regulated area.

Case No. 9

(Superintending Engineer, Electricity Civil Circle (Distribution), DVVNL, Agra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of building (including Store/Godown, office room, staff room, guard room) with the total height of 3.65 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, lift room, water-storage tank etc.); with total floor area of 1109 sqm. and reconstruction of boundary wall at Yamuna Bank Old Power House Colony, I'timad-ud-Daulah, Agra.

Case No. 10

(Chief Engineer (Yamuna), Irrigation and Water Resources Department, Agra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for extension, renovation and beautification of Ghats and laying of interceptor drains at Vrindavan, Mathura; in regulated area only. The same should be done under supervision of ASI. However, no extension work is permissible within the prohibited area of the nearby centrally protected monument.

Case No. 11

(Shri Ramesh Kumar, Chief Engineer, Lucknow Metro Rail Corporation Ltd., Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Underground Metro Station with the total height of 4.25 mtrs. for over the ground structures (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Chunni Ganj in Kanpur City of Uttar Pradesh; with floor area 1640 sqm. and the depth of concourse and the platforms 10 mtrs. & 20 mtrs. respectively.

Case No. 12

(Nagar Ayukt, Nagar Nigam, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, the case was recommended for construction at Mohalla Bazar Jhaulal, Kothi Ni. 1, Chakbast Road, Lucknow; subject to restricting the total height of building upto 7.6 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) as there are restrictions imposed by Lucknow Development Authority on height permissible in Kaiserbagh Heritage Zone. Therefore, the applicant may be asked to submit the revised building plan accordingly for consideration.

Case No. 13

(Sh. B.P. Maurya, Executive Engineer, Lucknow)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **defer** the case and ask the applicant to submit information in Schedule II alongwith the complete details of the project. Thereafter, the case will be considered again.

Case No. 14

(Fr. Avinash Rebelo, President, Museum of Christian Art, Goa)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case only for repair and renovation at Survey No. 153/1, Ella Village, Convent of Santa Monica, Old Goa. No new construction is allowed as the proposed site is in prohibited area.

Case No. 15

(Sh. S.K. Jain, Executive Engineer, PWD, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **reject** the case keeping in view the fact that part of construction falls in the prohibited area of centrally protected monuments and also the UNESCO World Heritage status of Humayun's Tomb group of monuments, and the possible impact thereon.



Case No. 16

(Sh. Sanjay Prakash, Dy. Inspector General (GBS), Central Industrial Security Force, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that ASI had issued Show Cause Notice to CISF for unauthorized construction of Boundary wall in March, 2012. In view of this, the Authority decided to **defer** the case and ascertain the views of ASI on the proposed construction, particularly in view of the fact that the monument is not visible physically on ground and impact of the proposed construction cannot be determined and measured.

Case No. 17

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council, Panhala, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of GF+2 Floors of water treatment plant with the total height of 11.25 mtrs. & floor area of GF=80.25 sqm., FF=33.00 sqm. & SF=28.00 sqm., construction of pump house in ground floor with the total height of 5.00 mtrs. and water tank with the total height of 30.00 mtrs. (inclusive all) and floor area of 145.26 sqm. at R.S. No. 49, Panhala Municipal Council, Panhala, Kolhapur.

Case No. 18

(Ayurveda Chikitsa Adhikari, Rajkiya Ayurvedic Aushadhalaya, Roopwas, Bharatpur, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 15 feet (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at Rajkiya Ayurvedic Aushadhalaya, Station Road, Roopwas, Bharatpur. The applicant may be asked to submit the building plan/floor area details alongwith elevation, section and floor plan accordingly for consideration.

Agenda No. 3

Report of Online NOC applications approved by NMA

The following applications were received through single window clearance system and approved online. The same have been ratified:

1. Sh. Brijesh Gupta, Sh. Rajesh Gupta, Sh. Ganesh Gupta, Sh. Amit Gupta and Sh. Sumit Gupta, C-1/35, Safdarjung Development Area, New Delhi
2. Sh. Manmohan Singh, Y-30, Hauz Khas, New Delhi
3. Sh. Sanjeev Bhargava, C-10, Green Park, New Delhi
4. Mohd. Asraf Bhat, D-8, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi
5. Smt. Jayanti Shrestha or Smt. Ratna Devi Shrestha, T-6, Kh. No. 129/62 at Patti Hamid Sarai, Harijan Colony, Begumpur Village, New Delhi

Agenda No. 4

Case of Shri Mohammed Rauf M. Saiyad, Gujarat

After perusal of the application and letter received from the applicant, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+1 floor with the total height of 9.10 mtrs. (including mumty, parapet, water-storage tank etc.) at C.S. No. 3502, 3503, Kalupur Ward-III, Sheet No. 40, Kalupur, Ahmedabad, Gujarat; with floor area of GF=FF=66.90 sqm.

